

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/825,608	PARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Barbara Summons	2817	

**All Participants:**

(1) Barbara Summons.

(2) Mr. Eugene M. Lee (Reg. No. 32,039).

**Status of Application:** elected claims allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2005
**Time:** 3:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-6 and 18-23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Barbara Summons*

**BARBARA SUMMONS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the only remaining issue being the presense of withdrawn claims that were non-elected With Traverse. Applicants did not give approval for the cancellation of the withdrawn claims at this time, and requested a written response to the arguments with traverse..